Home

About Us/Help | Ar



Domestic Politics

**Economy** 

Foreign Policy

<u>Justice</u>

**Military** 

Society & Culture

Also see:

Irag in Transition

Living > Religion & Ethics

Manitan Breakfast

Monitor Breakfast

Excerpts from discussions between reporters and Washington newsmakers.

GIMEDE COOK'S CAPITOL

**USA Stories:** 

for 05/26/2004

Bush's bid to shape the Iraq

story

Making them talk: the moral

debate

The South emerges as jobs

<u>haven</u>

Press wrestles with grim clips

In Boston, a 'postal' message

on the need to fight crime

Theme parks hope for

summer boost

Bush speech attempts to

http://www.csmonitor.com/2004/0521/p02s01-ussc.html

<u>USA</u> > <u>Society & Culture</u> from the May 21, 2004 edition

'Friendly' divorce movement gains ground

Looking to reduce the cost and emotional toll, more couples try 'collabora' to keep breakups out of court.

By Kris Axtman | Staff writer of The Christian Science Monitor

HOUSTON - After eight years of marriage, Joe and his wife decided to c

The couple wanted the split to be as amicable and quick as possible, but t intertwined lives - which include two young children - had made it difficu

They soon settled on joint custody of the kids, but their financial discussions were more contentious. That's when the couple decided to try a new form of divorce, using "collaborative law."

It essentially says that both parties, with the help of lawyers, psychiatrists, and accountants, agree to work toward the best solution for all involved. And because they never step foot in court, proponents of the concept say it reduces the emotional costs on everyone.

"Divorce is not a pleasant situation to begin with," says Joe, a Houston lawyer who preferred to use his first name only. "But collaborative law made the process as smooth as it could have been."

E-mail newslette Get all of today's l or alerts on specifi Subscribe for free.





Write a letter
Editor




Printer-friend version



Permission to reprint/repub

Now a new study in Texas shows that the process is saving time and mor well. Instead of a typical 18-month, \$14,000 process through litigation, a collaborative divorce takes an average of 18 weeks and \$9,000 to complete according to recently released data by the Collaborative Law Institute of 7

reassure on Iraq



Most-viewed stories: (for 05/25/04)05/25/04 US closes in on deal with Iraqi cleric 05/25/04 'Gas roots' protest over pump prices 05/25/04 National Parks fast falling into disrepair 05/25/04 In grade-obsessed society, learning gets left behind 05/26/04 Bush's bid to shape the Iraq story

Collaborative divorce is part of a growing legal movement, known as holis transformative law. It moves from an adversarial approach to a more heali counseling approach to the practice of law - and practitioners and clients at they are much more satisfied at the end of the day.

The concept is especially important when it comes to divorce, which affe one million children a year. In most cases, divorcing parents need to continue relationship beyond the bounds of marriage. "If a couple has children, the of a marriage is really just the beginning of a new relationship," says Pegg Thompson, codirector of Collaborative Divorce in Orinda, Calif., and a far psychologist.

To many family lawyers and therapists, traditional adversarial models of not fit divorce cases, which originally wound up in the courts because we were considered property. In collaborative divorces, a team of lawyers, accountants, and psychiatrists work with both clients' best interests at he sharing information freely. Instead of dueling experts, the couple pays for neutral expert to help with financial or mental-health issues.

## One couple's experience

Joe, for instance, says he and his wife hired an accountant to look at their and recommend a fair solution. Both were satisfied with the result, and the final divorce papers this week after just four months.

"Collaborative law provides a safe structure where people can negotiate v threat of court, without fear of being cross-examined or have anything the used against them," says Norma Trusch, president of the International Ac of Collaborative Professionals. She has been practicing family law in Hou 27 years and says she nearly ended her career in frustration until she hear collaborative law three years ago. "All I ever heard from children was, 'Ple my parents stop fighting,' " she says.

Though she can make substantially more money litigating, Ms. Trusch is a her entire practice to collaborative law. She says she knew it was right whether the first time ever, I was hugged by the client on the other side."

The main disadvantage, however, is that if the parties can't reach a negotial solution, they must fire their collaborative lawyers and hire litigators.

That will have meant wasted time and money, says Thomas Oldham, a pı at the University of Houston, who focuses on family law and marital pro rights.

He touches on collaborative law in several of his classes and says the advaseem to outweigh the disadvantages. "A number of very good lawyers are excited about it," he says.

Collaborative law was developed in 1990 by a Minneapolis family lawyer. Webb, who was burned out from handling divorces in court. Since that tin lawyers across the country have been trained in the process, a number the been roughly doubling each year as demand for the service rises.

In 2001, Texas became the first state to adapt its divorce law to accommo practice through legislation. In Louisiana, a family-court judge is using a \$ grant to promote the concept to both lawyers and clients.

W. Ross Foote led the campaign in Rapides Parish, La. After trying for 17 to bring "dignity" back to family court, the district judge finally stumbled collaborative divorce two years ago and has been trumpeting the idea ever

One recent example of its success: An Alexandria, La., couple called their collaborative lawyer to cancel an appointment, saying that they had staye night talking (communication is a large part of the collaborative process) a decided to give their marriage another chance.

## Fewer divorces

In fact, the number of couples who stay together after going through the collaborative process is about 10 percent nationwide - a much larger number those who use the courts, studies show.

"If 10 percent of the people are reconciling though collaborative law, then system is costing us 10 percent of divorces unnecessarily," says Judge Fc "The problem with the court route is, there is no exit ramp. Once you sta can't stop. People get more and more bitter and say worse and worse thin

Taking divorce out of the courts, he says, is key to creating healthy relativafter the split. "The difference is, collaborative law is client-centered inste court-centered," he says. "We call it divorce with dignity."